## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

Sheila Williams,

Civil Action No.: 2:15-cv-01483

Plaintiff, : CIVIL Redon 100: 2:13 eV 01 103

V.

Herzing University, LTD,

COMPLAINT AND
DEMAND FOR HIDY TRIAL

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant.

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Sheila Williams, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. The Plaintiff, Sheila Williams ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Fort Myers, Florida, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 4. Defendant Herzing University, LTD ("Herzing"), is a Wisconsin business entity with an address of W140N8917 Lilly Road, Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin 53051, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

### **FACTS**

- 5. In July 2015, Herzing started calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 239-xxx-5353, in an attempt to solicit its services to Plaintiff.
- 6. At all times mentioned herein, Herzing contacted Plaintiff using an automated telephone dialer system ("ATDS" or "predictive dialer") and/or by using an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 7. When Plaintiff answered Herzing's calls, she heard a prerecorded message requesting a call back.
- 8. During a live conversation in early August 2015, Plaintiff informed Herzing that she was not interested in going back to school, and as such, demanded that all calls to her cease immediately.
- 9. Thereafter, despite having been so informed and directed to cease communications, Herzing continued placing automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone on a daily basis.
- 10. The calls caused Plaintiff a great deal of frustration and intruded on her right to be free from unwanted invasions. Plaintiff was ultimately forced to retain the assistance of counsel in an effort to stop the calls.

#### COUNT I

# <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT – 47 U.S.C. § 227, ET SEQ.</u>

11. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 12. At all times mentioned herein and within the last year, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone using an ATDS or predictive dialer and/or by using a prerecorded or artificial voice.
- 13. Defendant continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone after being directed by Plaintiff to cease calling and knowing there was no consent to continue the calls. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 14. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 15. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 16. Each of the aforementioned calls made by Defendant constitutes a violation of the TCPA.
- 17. As a result of each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call placed in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 18. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C);
- C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: December 13, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ Amy L. Cueller

Amy L. Cueller, Esq. #15052-49 LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C. 43 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

E-Mail: acueller@lemberglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff